

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,621	06/10/2005	Laurence Germond-Rouet	FR 020142	8956	
24737 PHILIPS INT	7590 10/28/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			WEATHERBY, ELLSWORTH		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3768	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/538,621	GERMOND-ROUET ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ELLSWORTH WEATHERBY	3768	

	ELLSWORTH WEATHERBY	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued to 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	porroonanding number of finally rais	ated alaims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Americanient (102-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	orabio ii oabiiilloa iii a oopalaloj i	aniony mod amondmon	it carrosing the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	does NOT place the application in	condition for allower	b
11. \(\times \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but \(\times \) Eee Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowar	ce pecause:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768

/Long V Le/

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments with respect to claims 1-17 are directed to the allegation on page 11 of the 03/19/2008 arguments that Bonnefous 771 does not suggest visualizing images together with parameters, that include distensibility being a ratio dilation by a diameter of an artery. The Examiner first notes that with regard to claim in distensibility being a ratio of dilation by a diameter of the artery is not a structural limitation. Furthermore and regarding claim 12, the distensibility as disclosed by Bonnefous 771 suggests the claimed visualizing images of the distensibility together with parameters including a distensibility as a claimeter of an artery relative to the original diameter at paragraphs 0056-0057.